UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/826,531                 | 04/15/2004                    | Kwok Wai Cheung      | IPVBP003            | 2163             |
| 34071<br>IPVENTURE, I      | 7590 07/01/2008<br><b>NC.</b> |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 5150 EL CAMI               |                               |                      | BLAIR, KILE O       |                  |
| SUITE A-22<br>LOS ALTOS, ( | CA 94022                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                            |                               |                      | 2615                |                  |
|                            |                               |                      |                     |                  |
|                            |                               |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                            |                               |                      | 07/01/2008          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action**

Applicant's arguments filed 6/5/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant is arguing that Johnson et al. does not teach a personal wireless communication device. Johnson et al. teaches a phone system in a vehicle, and the examiner asserts that the telephone system of Johnson et al. is a personal wireless communication device because it is intended for the use of a specific vehicle driver and it utilizes wireless communication. The telephone system also meets all of the other limitations of applicant's claim 1.

Regarding applicant's argument that the final Office action is in error for suggesting that the directional speakers of Johnson et al. are part of the cellular phone system as well as the directional microphone even though they are not explicitly disclosed as a physically integral part of a cellular phone handset, the examiner asserts that the cellular phone of Johnson et al. that the Office action was referring to is composed of all of these features and is not cellular phone in the conventional sense of an all in one handset. The non-physically integral speakers, microphone, and handset constitute a cellular phone as well as a personal wireless communication device. The term personal wireless communication device has a broader meaning in the art than that suggested by the applicant that it must be handheld or capable of being held near the head.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 3, 5, 16, and 22, the examiner asserts that these features are obvious for the reasons given in the Office action.

Next, with respect to applicant's argument about the rejection of claim 4, the claim language says only that that the personal wireless communication device comprises at least one sensor. Claim 4 makes no mention that the sensor must be physically integral with any specific parts of the personal wireless communication device.

Regarding claim 13, the examiner asserts that the stereo system can be reasonably interpreted as peripheral apparatus to the mobile phone. Also, the examiner has combined the teachings of Juntunen et al. with those of Takahashi et al. to show that the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based on the *combined* teachings of the prior art references.

Regarding the applicant's argument that McNelley et al. does not teach a port connector for providing audio sound to the speaker, there inherently must be a connector for the speaker to function even though not explicitly stated.

Regarding applicant's argument that the combination of non-directional and directional speakers is patentable over the prior art, the examiner asserts that such a combination would have been obvious since it was obvious to try and yields predictable results.

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kile O. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 270-3544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.